



SOME TOOLS FOR USE IN PROGRAM/PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INTEGRATION

Robert Halligan FIE Aust CPEng IntPE(Aus)

Copyright Project Performance International. Reproduce only in original form without change.

systems engineering for project success ...

Robert J Halligan, FIE Aust CPEng IntPE (Aus)



Managing Director
Project Performance International

Content Contributor to EIA/IS-632, EIA 632, IEEE 1220, ISO/IEC 15288 SE standards

Past INCOSE Head of Delegation
to ISO/IEC SC7 on Software and

Systems Engineering



Past Member of the INCOSE Board of Directors

Past President

Systems Engineering Society of Australia

Consultant/Trainer

to BAE Systems, Mitsubishi, Airbus, Thales, Raytheon, General Electric, Boeing, Lockheed, General Dynamics, OHB, Nokia, AREVA, BHP Billiton, Rio Tinto, Embraer, Halliburton and many other leading enterprises on six continents



rhalligan@ppi-int.com

systems engineering for project success ...





PPI-006407-1

THE THREE KEY PM/SE ROLES MUST ALL BE CONVINCED OF THE VALUE OF SYSTEMS ENGINEERING!

SEI/AESS/NDIA 2012 STUDY RESULTS



Driver	Relationship to Performance (Gamma)		
	All Projects	Lower challenge	Higher challenge
SEC-Total – total deployed SE	+0.49	+0.34	+0.62
SEC-PP – project planning	+0.46	+0.16	+0.65
SEC-REQ – reqts. developt. & mgmt.	+0.44	+0.36	+0.50
SEC-VER – verification	+0.43	+0.27	+0.60
SEC-ARCH – product architecture	+0.41	+0.31	+0.49
SEC-CM – configuration management	+0.38	+0.22	+0.53
SEC-TRD – trade studies	+0.38	+0.29	+0.43
SEC-PMC – project monitor & control	+0.38	+0.27	+0.53
SEC-VAL – validation	+0.33	+0.23	+0.48
SEC-PI – product integration	+0.33	+0.23	+0.42
SEC-RSKM – risk management	+0.21	+0.18	+0.24
SEC-IPT – integrated product teams	+0.18	-0.12	+0.40

Source: "The Business Case for Systems Engineering Study: Results of the Systems Engineering Effectiveness Survey", CMU/SEI-2012-SR-009, November 2012

SEI/AESS/NDIA 2012 STUDY RESULTS



Legend: PC Project Challenge

Source: "The Business Case for Systems Engineering Study: Results of the Systems Engineering Effectiveness Survey", CMU/SEI-2012-SR-009, November 2012

PROJECT PERFORMANCE

INTERNATIONAL

PMs ARE (OR SHOULD BE) SEs



Note 1: The Systems Engineering Process is applied repeatedly to each design object, starting at, for example, the Capability, Mission or Use System, then to, for example, the Prime Mission or Use Product, Maintenance System, Production System, Operational Infrastructure, etc., then to subsystems of these systems.

Note 2: Also, where applicable, validate data products (not shown diagramatically).

Note 3: The process also performs the integration of the system elements to build the system for the first time (system integration).

Note 4: The process also includes the conduct of verification of the produced system against the requirements for that system, thereby verifying both the system, and the design of the system.

Note 5: The process also includes the conduct of validation of the produced system against the need.

A Systems Engineering Process View

© Copyright Project Performance (Australia) Pty Ltd 2012 - 2017

PROCESS OUTPUT

- identification & specification of each system element, including build instructions
- requirements traceability information
- system & system element verification requirements
- design traceability information (decision data base)
 - system functional & physical architecture and detail descriptions
 - design decision support data
 - design decision rationale data
- concurrent engineering-related outputs
- prototypes, where applicable

PPI-005348-36

systems engineering for project success ...



SOME TOOLS TOWARDS PM/SE INTEGRATION

- Project/Work Breakdown Structure (PBS/WBS)
- Use of Integrated Product Teams with Vertical Integration
- Give Engineers Responsibility, Authority and Accountability For Cost And Schedule
- Build, Communicate and Use a Project Effectiveness Model
- Technical Performance Measurement

BUILD, COMMUNICATE AND USE A PROJECT/WORK BREAKDOWN STRUCTURE (PBS/WBS)

Project (Work) Breakdown Structure (PBS/WBS)

as a Framework for Project Definition, Costing, Scheduling, Risk Analysis, Measurement, Reporting and Organizational Design

Legend:



Systems engineering activities populate the WBS below level 2, or if there is only one deliverable of the project, at level 2 and below.

PPI-005104-4

HOW A PBS/WBS FOSTERS PM/SE INTEGRATION:



A well-constructed PBS/WBS provides a framework for:

- focus towards project outcomes in all work conducted, all money spent, from bottom to top
- project management/engineering management/engineering integration through a PBS/WBS-influenced team of teams structure, with the project manager a member of the team responsible for each major project deliverable
- specifying, measuring and controlling the quality, cost and schedule attributes of the intermediate products and work tasks from which the project deliverables are to be realized
- estimating, accumulating to the level of project outcomes, tracking over time and reporting project risk and its origins.

DEVELOPMENT LOGIC FOR A PROJECT WITH TWO OR MORE DELIVERABLES



The level 1 element is the project.

To define level 2 elements:

- 1. What products (physical/software/data) are required to be delivered by the project?
- 2. What services are required to be delivered by the project?
- 3. What services are necessary, internal to the project, to deliver the project outputs and outcomes, that are not needed uniquely to create (for physical/software/data product) or deliver (for a service) just a single element from questions 1 and 2?

One answer to this last question is always "Project Management"

4. What products, if any, internal to the project, that involve project cost or other resources in their realization, are necessary to deliver the project outputs and outcomes, that are not needed uniquely to create (for a physical/software/data product) or deliver (for a service) just a single element from questions 1, 2 and 3?

To define sub-elements below level 2, the questions for a product element are:

- 5-1. What products are to be integrated to create this product element?
- 5-2. In addition to the products from question 5-1, what services are to be performed to create this product element, that are not needed uniquely to create just a single sub-element from question 5-1?
- 5-3. In addition to the products and services from questions 5-1 and 5-2 respectively, what products are necessary, that involve project cost or other resources in their realization, to create this product element, that are not needed uniquely to create (for physical/software/data product) or perform (for a service) just a single sub-element from questions 5-1 and 5-2 respectively?

To define sub-elements below level 2, the questions for a service element are:

- 6-1. What services are to be integrated to perform this service element?
- 6-2. In addition to the services from question 6-1, what products are necessary to perform this service element, that involve project cost or other resources in their realization, and that are not needed uniquely to perform just a single service sub-element from question 6-1?

USE INTEGRATED PRODUCT TEAMS AS MAJOR PROJECT BUILDING BLOCKS, WITH PROJECT MANAGEMENT PARTICIPATION IN THE LEAD IPTS FOR MAJOR PROJECT DELIVERABLES.

MATCH KNOWLEDGE, SKILLS AND ATTITUDES TO ROLES.

systems engineering for project success ...



PBS/WBS AND INTEGRATED PRODUCT TEAMS (IPTS) RELATED

- An Integrated Product Team (IPT) is a multi-disciplinary team tasked and empowered to take a product from requirements to delivery. It has stakeholder participation, and works on a consensus basis of decisionmaking.
- Integrated Product Team (IPT) structure and PBS/WBS should be closely related, down to the level(s) below which IPTs are not beneficial.

A GROUP VERSUS A TEAM

PROJECT PERFORMANCE



GROUP people working towards a goal whose work is coordinated by someone else (e.g. a manager) for them



TEAM

people working towards a common goal who coordinate their work amongst themselves

KSF - CREATE AN ENVIRONMENT THAT DEVELOPS:



PROJECT PERFORMANCE INTERNATIONAL

KSF - GROW LEADERS FROM TOP TO BOTTOM OF THE ORGANIZATION





Team leadership: the ability of the team leader to inspire, motivate and develop the team and its members. The team leader is the lead coach and the team members' greatest supporter.

KSF – SHARED VISION





Shared Vision: the commitment in words and action of the stakeholders, team leader and other team members to an explicitly stated common goal.

KSF – COMMITMENT TO THE APPROACH





Commitment to Approach: the existence of a common understanding of, and agreement to, by all, the method of approach of the team to do the job.

KSF – TEAM MEMBER COLLABORATION





Team Member Collaboration: an approach of working involving mutual support in pursuit of team goals without hidden agenda or power games.

KSF – EMPOWERMENT





Empowerment: the authority of team members and of the team to make decisions within the scope of performance of the designated IPT task, and within defined constraints.

KSF – TEAM LEARNING





Team Learning: When a team is first formed, managers, team leaders and other team members rarely have the complete set of skills, knowledge and attitudes necessary for the team to perform well. Mental models of the world differ between individuals. Successful teams incorporate mechanisms for development of a shared mental model, and other aspects of team learning, and exist in an environment in which shared learning is fostered.

KSF - STAKEHOLDER FEEDBACK





Stakeholder Feedback: teams are most effective when team performance is continuously being verified and improved by feedback from project management, customers of the team's products, and from all other external stakeholders to which the team owes allegiance.

KSF – GOOD UNDERSTANDING OF RISK & OPPORTUNITY





Understanding of risk and opportunity: Both planning and product development are about making decisions in the presence of uncertainty. Consistently good decision-making requires a good understanding of risk and opportunity, and the basis of decision making in their presence.

KSF – EXCELLENCE IN NEEDED KNOWLEDGE, SKILLS AND ATTITUDES



Knowledge, Skills and Attitudes well matched to roles: No amount of soft skills will make up for incompetence in management or engineering.

PROJECT PERFORMANCE

INTERNATIONAL

PPI-007001-1

TO RECAP - MAJOR FACTORS IN ENGINEERING

- A coaching style of team leadership
- **Personal qualities** of the team leader and team members
- Well-defined, outcomes-oriented success criteria associated with a shared vision
- Empowerment delegated authority to make decisions within defined constraints
- A consensus-basis of team decision-making
- A good **understanding** by team members of **risk and opportunity**
- Excellence in the necessary, role-related Knowledge, Skills and Attitudes (KSAs) of team members

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT KSAs - KNOWLEDGE



- Broad, but not necessarily detailed, knowledge of the technologies involved in the engineering activities being managed, and related methods
- Deep knowledge of the principles and methods of systems engineering
- Deep knowledge of the principles and methods of project management and systems engineering management
- Deep knowledge and understanding of risk and opportunity
- Substantial knowledge of human psychology and related behavior

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT KSAs - SKILLS



- Skills to apply knowledge to planning, organizing resources, motivating people, measuring performance and applying corrections where necessary
- Very good decision-making skills in the presence of incomplete information and uncertainties as to outcomes
- Skills to manage outwards, engendering confidence in the engineering from the stakeholders
- Very good communication skills

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT KSAs - ATTITUDES



- Respect for technical expertise
- Results orientation
- Where subordinates are performing the engineering, willingness to delegate
- Issues focus, not personalities focus
- Patience
- A personality type that gains satisfaction from enabling others to succeed
- No blame

EXAMPLE ENGINEERING ROLE:

- Knowledge of the history of projects and the role of requirements in project outcomes
- Knowledge of the information parameters that define the problem domain
- General understanding of risk
- Deep knowledge of the principles and methods of requirements analysis
- At least basic familiarity with the **application domain** for the item which is to be the subject of the requirements analysis
- At least base level knowledge of systems engineering principles and methods

REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS KSAs - SKILLS



- Deep skills in applying the knowledge of the principles and methods of requirements analysis
- Skills in **identifying defects in requirements**
- Skills to distinguish between, and switch thinking between, problem domain and solution domain
- Skills in measuring requirements quality
- Deep skills in human communication
- Skills in writing individual requirements, in applicable language(s)
- Skills in the **development of verification requirements**

REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS KSAs - ATTITUDES

- Respect for the right of the owners of requirements to decide what they require
- Desire to address requirements issues in terms of outcomes for the stakeholders, not in terms of competencies of the requirements owner/writer -"being on their side"
- Willingness to accept approximation and incompleteness in requirements, and related requirements analysis tasks - "adequacy" not "perfection"
- Subject to the "adequacy" criterion, attention to detail



EXAMPLE ROLE: PHYSICAL DESIGN KSAs – KNOWLEDGE

- General knowledge of the problem domain, i.e. the area of application
- Deep knowledge of the relevant solution technologies
- Knowledge of basic problem solving, involving problem definition, candidate solution identification, and solution selection
- General understanding of risk
- Understanding that design creates requirements on solution elements

PHYSICAL DESIGN KSAs – SKILLS



- Skill to distinguish between, and switch thinking between, problem domain and solution domain
- Deep creative and innovative skills in relating understanding of the problem and knowledge of relevant solution technologies to develop candidate solutions to the problem
- Skills in explaining design, verbally and in writing
- Skills in creating through sound design decisions sound requirements on elements of the solution

PHYSICAL DESIGN KSAs – ATTITUDES



- Respect for the right of owners of the requirements to define the problem that is to be solved
- Attention to detail
- Willingness to accept and respond constructively to questioning, and to criticism, of the design
- Focus on maximization of value to the stakeholder(s) whom the design is to serve, normally the employer
- Willingness to raise requirements issues with stakeholders when defects in requirements are discovered, rather than unilaterally deciding, or assuming, or guessing

SELF-ORGANIZING TEAMS

FOUR TYPES OF TEAM

Most high-performance teams are self-organizing teams

	Manager- led teams	Self- managing teams	Self- organizing teams	Self- governing teams
Setting overall direction for the team				
Designing the team and its context				
Managing work process and monitoring progress				
Executing the team tasks:				

Where are high-performance teams found?





INTEGRATED PRODUCT TEAMS





- A multi-disciplinary, cross-functional, stakeholder-focussed team solely responsible for taking a product from need to delivery.
- Knowledge, skills and attitudes of the team members are complementary

systems engineering for project success ...

IPT MEMBERSHIP:

Membership of a Lead IPT could include:

- Project Manager
- Team leaders of subordinate IPTs
- Specialists including specialty engineers
- Functional representative engineering
- Functional representative HR
- Functional representative production
- Functional representative purchasing
- Functional representative marketing
- Functional representative finance
- Functional representative quality
- Functional representative IT
- Representatives interfacing WBS elements
- IPT Leader



The number of people on the IPT will depend on the nature of the IPT and its environment



BUILD, COMMUNICATE AND USE A PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS MODEL



MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS (MOES)

- Beyond requirements (must be met)
- Measures that represent degrees of goodness of a product or of a project, e.g.
 - speed
 - accuracy
 - unit cost of production
 - reliability
 - aesthetic appeal
 - time to market
 - consequential votes in a marginal electorate!



Document Number: P006-003868-1 © Copyright Project Performance (Australia) Pty Ltd 2007

IMPLEMENT TECHNICAL PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT (TPM)

OR

INTEGRATED PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT

systems engineering for project success ...

PPI-007001-1

ALTERNATIVE STRATEGIES FOR IMPLEMENTING PERFORMANCE TRACKING



• Technical Performance Measurement (TPM)

• Earned Value Methodology (EVM)

• Integrated Performance Measurement (IPT)

EXAMPLE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR PROFILE WITH ALARM THRESHOLDS





EXAMPLE PERFORMANCE INDICATOR PROFILE

CATEGORY QUALITY ASSURANCE FACTOR: SCRAP, REWORK & REPAIR GOAL: 1% OPR' ABCD METHOD OF CALCULATION: METHOD OF OBSERVATION MEASUREMENT MILESTONES DESIRED VALUE MILESTONE METHOD RATIONALE 6% CALC (#3) (#4) 5% CALC (#6) 3% CALC 3% OBS (#7) (#8) 2% OBS (#9) 1% OBS 6-ALAAM 5-4-SCRAP PLAN % OF LABOR 3-2-GOAL 0 $\Delta \Delta$ △ △ △ #7 #8 #9 Δ #3 #4 #6 FSD PRODUCTION

PROJECT PERFORMANCE INTERNATIONAL

TPM INFORMATION PLANNING AND EXECUTION







EXAMPLE TPM PARAMETERS - AIRCRAFT ENGINE

TPM PARAMETERS - AIRCRAFT ENGINE SUB-SYSTEM

MAXIMUM THRUST SEA-LEVEL STANDARD (LBS)

INTERMEDIATE THRUST SEA-LEVEL STANDARD (LBS)

INTERMEDIATE THRUST AT B-1 REFUEL (LBS)

SPECIFIC FUEL CONSUMPTION AT B-1 SUPERSONIC CRUISE (LB/HR/LB)

SM REQ'D/SM AVAIL (STATIC MARGIN)

TOTAL ENGINE WEIGHT (LBS)

RELIABILITY - MYBPL (HR) (MEAN-TIME-BETWEEN-POWER-LOSS)

MAINTENANCE MAN-HOUR RATE (MAN-HOURS/EFFECTIVE FLYING HOURS)



EARNED VALUE METHODOLOGY INDICATORS

- An Earned Value Method provides cost and schedule variance data, including trend data, based on the value of actual work accomplishment (earned value).
- A **Cost Performance Index (CPI)** below 1 indicates cost risk. A CPI downward trend indicates increasing cost risk.
- A **Schedule Performance Index (SPI)** below 1 indicates schedule risk. A SPI downward trend indicates increasing schedule risk.

INTEGRATED PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT (IPM)



- Based on the project effectiveness model or a derivative thereof
- Each parameter in the model is assigned a Performance Improvement (PI) profile
- Weights from the project effectiveness model are applied to the profiles to derive a weighted utility profile for each parameter
- The component weighted utilities are summed on a periodic basis and/or at milestones to give a baseline project effectiveness profile
- Current actuals are entered into the same model to give actual against planned effectiveness variance
- Adverse variances flag increasing project risk

energytech 2018



May your project managers, engineering managers and engineers align in driving towards the same vision.

Thank you for your interest ③ Robert J. Halligan



Email: rhalligan@ppi-int.com

PO Box 2385 Ringwood North Victoria, 3134 Australia